

S. Kann, Sons & Co. S. Kann, Sons & Co.

"THE BUSY CORNER."

ALWAYS THE BEST OF EVERYTHING FOR THE LEAST MONEY.

Open Until 9 o'clock Tonight.

Our Men's Furnishings Dept.

Neckwear Selling Which We Consider Extraordinary.

500 dozen English Ruchenda neckwear in the long reversible design by four-in-hand, the bat-wing, the butterfly, the open King Edward, and the long Windsor—they are real English, you know, Saturday.

NOTE: This is only one of the many neckwear wonders we have more up our sleeves—watch the papers and our gigantic window display. Other items of exceptional value that may interest you.

500 pieces of Men's Fine Balbriggan Underwear, shirts and drawers to match in every size—sold everywhere at \$2, for.....

500 pairs of guaranteed 50c quality Suspenders—the celebrated "Elite" make, on a special table, Saturday.....

All our special makes of Pure Linen Collars, including the high-handers, for one day, each.....

150 dozen Men's Fine Madras Necktie Shirts, either plain or pleated—these are all special patterns, which were selected by us and made to our order—special for Saturday.....

Johnson-Luttrell Annex, Men's Department.

Boys' Clothing.

We make boys look like and feel like kings in one of our Nobby Spring Suits. This line was selected with the view to make both parents and boys our friends.

Boys' All-wool two-piece Suits, double-breasted jacket and knee pants, fifteen different patterns of new spring ideas, as well as navy blue cheviot, and \$2.98 value for.....

Boys' All-wool three-piece Suits in cassimere, cheviot, worsteds, pin-head checks, and navy blue cheviot, made with double-breasted vest, 10 to 16 years, \$3.00 and \$4.69.

Boys' Sailor Suits made of the best quality navy blue serge, fine cassimere and cheviot, all neatly trimmed with silk soutache braid and finished with combination shield—ages 3 to 9 years—

\$2.98 and \$3.69.

Children's Vestee Suits in all-wool cassimere, cheviot, worsted, pin-head checks and plaids, made with double-breasted vest—ages 3 to 9 years—

\$1.89 and \$2.98.

Boys' Russian Blouse Suits made of imported storm serge and fine worsteds, in five different shades, trimmed with braid and finished with patent leather belts—ages 3 to 9 years—

\$2.98 and \$3.75.

S. KANN, SONS & CO., Eighth and Market Space.

THE GYPSY GIRLS RELEASED

No Evidence to Connect Them With the McCormick Kidnapping.

The Detectives Endeavoring to Clear Away the Mystery in Regard to the Slip of the Girls—A New Clue.

Hannah and Lizzie Michel, the gypsies placed under arrest in this city yesterday, on suspicion of having been implicated in the kidnapping of Willie McCormick, were released this morning. Their mother was happy when the girls were restored to her and when the girls reached their camp just beyond the Long Bridge a scene of rejoicing ensued.

The local authorities were not inclined to attach much importance to the finding of the slip of paper, which it was supposed might be a connecting link in the kidnapping case, but took the gypsies into custody until an investigation of the supposed clue could be made.

Thomas J. O'Connell, the detective sergeant, from New York, who came here to attend the examination into the case, it is said, is convinced that the gypsy girls had nothing to do with the abduction of young McCormick.

Regarding the genuineness of the slip of paper that directed suspicion toward the gypsies, there is a diversity of opinion even among those in charge of the case. Most of them doubt whether the slip of paper was ever in the possession of Gertrude McCormick, who it was supposed had written the name on the paper picked up in the photograph gallery in this city. The paper the gypsies had is of a kind that is in common use and might as readily have been torn from a pad in this city as in New York.

On the other hand it is contended that the coincidence of the similarity of the handwriting is remarkable. The girls said they found the slip of paper in front of the horse bazaar, on Louisiana Avenue, between Ninth and Tenth Streets northwest.

Detective O'Connell has decided to remain in Washington a few days, in order to satisfy himself thoroughly concerning the scrap of paper. He and Detective Parham and Weedon, of the local force, are devoting their entire time to the solution of the mystery, and hope to be able to announce some progress within a short time. Although the detectives believe that the gypsy hand across the river are without knowledge regarding the missing boy, they will be constantly watched for the present. If the boys were in the hands of the detectives, they would have been secured yesterday morning, as their raid upon the camp, in company with the sheriff of Alexandria county, was at such an early hour, and so unexpected, that no time was given to hide him or get him out of the way.

It is understood that an effort will be made to search all of the gypsy camps in the country, in the endeavor to locate the boy. The father of the mother of the gypsy girls called at Headquarters this morning, and were questioned at some length. Their answers were frank, but like those of their children, shed but little light on the subject.

Early this morning the father of the girls employed F. B. Keys, the attorney, to apply for a writ of habeas corpus. The authorities, however, forestalled Mr. Keys by releasing the girls without a contest.

Pickford-Hopp Case Continued.

Hearing in the civil suit brought by Thomas H. Pickford against Ferdinand Hopp, to recover on a promissory note for \$200 was continued until Saturday May 4, in Justice Budy's court this morning. Pickford is one of the men indicted on charges of arson recently returned by the grand jury in Montgomery county. Hopp was arrested about the first of the month and with James Hudson, was charged with conspiracy to blackmail Pickford.

Established 1823.

WILSON

WHISKEY

That's All!

THE WILSON DISTILLING CO., Baltimore, Md.

TO LOOK ON THE BRIGHT SIDE OF THINGS, USE

SAPOLIO

AFFAIRS OF THE DISTRICT

September 3 for Washington Day at the Buffalo Exposition.

The Date Suggested by the Commissioners Satisfactory to the Commissioners—The Exercises May Be Held in the Government Building.

Unless some unexpected change takes place, September 3 will be "District Day" at the Pan-American Exposition in Buffalo. Commissioner Macfarland, who has charge of the arrangements, this morning received word from the director general that this date was an open one on the programme, and could be assigned to the District for its special exercises. In this connection it will be recalled that the Commissioners, at their meeting, decided upon September 3 as a good time for the District to hold a special celebration at Buffalo. This date comes directly after Labor Day, it is in the vacation period, and at that time the exposition will be at its best. These were the determining reasons which prompted the Commissioners in choosing the date.

The telegram from William L. Buchanan, the Director General, in reply to that of the Commissioners follows: "I have great pleasure in saying that it is a gratification for us to be able to set aside this day, as we have, for that purpose. We will do all that we can to make it a success."

In speaking of the matter this morning, Mr. Macfarland said that in his letter of invitation the director general had suggested to the Commissioners that it would be appropriate to arrange special exercises which might be held in some building on the exposition grounds, as the Governors of States and Territories are to do. The Commissioner is of the opinion that it will be a distinct advantage in many ways for the District of Columbia to appear at the Buffalo Exposition on an equality with the States. It has pointed out the fact that the District is not so there as a municipality, but in company with, and on an equality with, the sisterhood of States.

Mr. Macfarland is confident that the United States Government Building can be used as a place of holding the District Day exercises, since Congress did not authorize the erection of a District building at Buffalo. The Commissioner hopes that the exercises may be appropriate to the occasion and commensurate with the dignity and importance of the District of Columbia as a territorial, governmental, and historical entity.

To this end Commissioner Macfarland will soon ask his associates to determine how the arrangements for these exercises shall be made.

The Electrical Engineering has recommended that the guy pole on the north side of U Street, between Sixteenth and Seventeenth Streets northwest, be taken down and re-erected in place of the guy pole at the northeast corner of Fourth Street and Virginia Avenue. The Electrical Engineer states that the latter pole is in a very dangerous condition, and that he has ordered the telephone company to remove it immediately. This recommendation the Commissioners have approved.

The firm of Pavay & Greer, contractors, have informed the Commissioners that if the contract to build the four-room school house on the grounds of the Industrial Home is given to them they will complete the structure in six months. On this strength the Commissioners have voted to award the contract for erecting the building to this firm, and the contract for the plumbing to Edward J. Hannan.

The Commissioners today issued orders in connection with public work as follows: That the offer of F. W. Huldecker to pave gutters on both sides of Thirty-seventh Street, between Y and Z Streets northwest, for 25 cents per square yard to an amount equal to an estimated cost of about \$200 is hereby accepted, cost chargeable to the appropriation for "Streets in Earth," 1901.

That cement sidewalk be laid and curb reset on the Tenth Street side of the Garnett School building, northeast corner of Tenth and U Streets, at an estimated cost of \$35, charged to the current appropriation for sidewalks and curbs around public reservations and municipal buildings.

That the sum of \$2400 is hereby allotted for the purchase of lumber for the bridges, 1901, for the purchase of lumber for laying a new floor on the Anacostia or Navy Yard bridge.

Gen. Thomas M. Vincent and Henry W. Sampson, members of the Flag Committee of the District of Columbia, Sons of the American Revolution, called on Commissioner Macfarland today to lay before him the proposition of the society to furnish flags to the school children of the District. The proposition is that the society through its flag committee will furnish the children of the public schools of the District with small flags to be worn by them on June 4, Flag Day.

The flag committee, which is composed of the national ensign, Messrs. Vincent and Sampson, after Mr. Macfarland had explained the purpose of the society in the matter, expressed the hope that the children, school and otherwise, would be glad to enter into the spirit of the occasion and that the children would wear the flags.

Commissioner Macfarland stated that he was glad the society was thus to call the attention of the boys and girls of the District to the flag, and that he hoped that the children would wear the flags.

Proposals were received at the District building today for the erection of a twelve-room school building on the southeast corner of Third and Avenue Streets, northeast. The bids were in several classes, with reference to material and specifications. The bidders were:

J. M. Dunn, on proposal No. 1, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 2, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 3, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 4, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 5, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 6, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 7, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 8, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 9, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 10, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 11, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 12, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 13, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 14, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 15, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 16, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 17, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 18, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 19, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 20, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 21, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 22, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 23, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 24, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 25, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 26, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 27, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 28, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 29, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 30, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 31, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 32, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 33, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 34, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 35, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 36, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 37, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 38, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 39, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 40, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 41, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 42, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 43, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 44, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 45, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 46, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 47, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 48, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 49, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 50, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 51, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 52, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 53, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 54, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 55, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 56, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 57, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 58, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 59, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 60, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 61, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 62, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 63, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 64, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 65, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 66, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 67, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 68, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 69, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 70, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 71, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 72, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 73, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 74, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 75, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 76, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 77, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 78, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 79, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 80, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 81, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 82, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 83, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 84, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 85, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 86, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 87, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 88, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 89, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 90, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 91, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 92, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 93, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 94, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 95, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 96, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 97, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 98, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 99, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 100, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 101, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 102, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 103, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 104, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 105, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 106, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 107, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 108, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 109, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 110, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 111, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 112, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 113, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 114, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 115, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 116, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 117, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 118, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 119, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 120, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 121, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 122, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 123, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 124, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 125, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 126, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 127, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 128, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 129, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 130, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 131, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 132, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 133, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 134, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 135, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 136, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 137, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 138, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 139, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 140, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 141, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 142, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 143, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 144, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 145, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 146, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 147, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 148, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 149, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 150, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 151, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 152, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 153, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 154, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 155, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 156, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 157, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 158, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 159, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 160, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 161, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 162, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 163, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 164, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 165, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 166, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 167, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 168, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 169, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 170, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 171, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 172, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 173, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 174, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 175, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 176, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 177, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 178, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 179, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 180, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 181, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 182, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 183, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 184, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 185, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 186, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 187, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 188, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 189, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 190, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 191, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 192, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 193, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 194, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 195, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 196, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 197, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 198, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 199, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 200, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 201, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 202, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 203, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 204, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 205, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 206, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 207, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 208, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 209, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 210, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 211, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 212, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 213, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 214, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 215, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 216, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 217, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 218, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 219, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 220, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 221, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 222, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 223, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 224, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 225, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 226, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 227, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 228, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 229, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 230, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 231, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 232, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 233, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 234, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 235, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 236, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 237, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 238, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 239, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 240, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 241, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 242, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 243, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 244, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 245, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 246, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 247, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 248, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 249, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 250, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 251, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 252, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 253, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 254, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 255, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 256, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 257, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 258, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 259, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 260, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 261, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 262, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 263, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 264, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 265, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 266, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 267, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 268, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 269, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 270, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 271, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 272, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 273, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 274, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 275, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 276, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 277, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 278, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 279, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 280, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 281, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 282, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 283, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 284, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 285, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 286, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 287, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 288, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 289, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 290, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 291, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 292, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 293, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 294, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 295, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 296, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 297, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 298, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 299, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 300, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 301, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 302, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 303, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 304, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 305, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 306, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 307, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 308, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 309, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 310, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 311, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 312, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 313, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 314, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 315, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 316, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 317, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 318, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 319, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 320, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 321, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 322, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 323, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 324, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 325, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 326, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 327, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 328, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 329, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 330, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 331, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 332, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 333, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 334, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 335, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 336, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 337, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 338, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 339, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 340, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 341, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 342, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 343, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 344, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 345, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 346, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 347, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 348, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 349, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 350, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 351, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 352, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 353, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 354, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 355, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 356, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 357, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 358, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 359, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 360, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 361, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 362, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 363, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 364, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 365, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 366, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 367, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 368, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 369, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 370, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 371, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 372, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 373, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 374, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 375, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 376, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 377, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 378, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 379, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 380, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 381, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 382, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 383, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 384, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 385, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 386, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 387, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 388, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 389, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 390, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 391, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 392, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 393, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 394, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 395, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 396, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 397, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 398, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 399, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 400, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 401, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 402, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 403, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 404, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 405, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 406, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 407, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 408, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 409, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 410, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 411, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 412, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 413, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 414, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 415, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 416, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 417, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 418, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 419, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 420, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 421, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 422, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 423, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 424, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 425, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 426, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 427, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 428, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 429, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 430, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 431, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 432, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 433, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 434, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 435, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 436, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 437, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 438, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 439, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 440, \$63,684, and on proposal No. 441